

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/648,612	08/28/2000	Hayaki Matsui	ASA-923	ASA-923 5524	
24956 7	7590 03/14/2005		EXAM	INER	
MATTINGLY, STANGER, MALUR & BRUNDIDGE, P.C.			NGUYEN, K	NGUYEN, KIMBINH T	
1800 DIAGON SUITE 370	NAL ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			2671		
			DATE MAILED: 03/14/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/648,612	MATSUI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Kimbinh T. Nguyen	2671	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •								
	Kimbinh T. Nguyen	2671						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 February 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.						
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. Th</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> </ol>	ment, affidavit, or other evidence, val fee) in compliance with 37 CFR e reply must be filed within one of t	which places the appl 41.31; or (3) a Reque	ication in st for Continued					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7.	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as					
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appel was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Chas been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per AMENIMENTS.	1.37 must be filed within two month CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	s of the date of filing	the Notice of					
AMENDMENTS  3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because								
<ul> <li>(a)  They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b)  They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c)  They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for</li> </ul>								
appeal; and/or			lile issues ioi					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	, -	ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)								
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	int canceling the					
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>4-8</u> .		II be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-3</u> .								
<ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good anwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	red.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by Applicants' arguments are not persuasive because: claim processing items in the screen transition process, said send of display of each individual image content of the sedescribed in the table form and carrying out the transact 5) and indicating the contents of transaction and information.	m 4, Ephrath et al. describes in fig. screen transition process changing eries of image contents", sequentiation process of the series of image	4: "describing in table current image to the ly reading the proces contents" (col. 5, lines	e form the next one at the sing items s 37-62 and fig.					
previous content (fig. 4).			inplication of a					
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>		mbnl N	2_					
		MANA NO	11.01					

KIMBINH T. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 030305